Challenges in Atrial Septal Defect Occlusion
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Abstract
We present 11 cases of percutaneous transcatheter occlusion of atrial septal defects (ASDs) in adults, including multi-fenestrated ASD, balloon-assisted deployment of ASD occlude, dilator-assisted deployment of ASD occlude, “cobra”-shaped disfiguration of the left disc, ASD with deficient aortic rim, pulmonary vein-assisted deployment of ASD occlude, “high” ASD, large Chiari network, double interatrial septum, snaring a runaway occluder, and right ventricular diastolic dysfunction causing cyanosis. Each case is followed by a practical discussion of the special dilemmas, complications, and challenges that may occur during common procedures.
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Introduction
Atrial septal defects (ASDs) account for 10–17% of congenital cardiac anomalies. Percutaneous closure of ostium secundum ASD is a safe and effective alternative to surgery [1]. Nevertheless, as with any interventional procedure, some ASD closures pose challenges and dilemmas to the interventional cardiologist. We report a variety of 11 representative cases, highlighting challenging morphological and clinical considerations that are of educational and practical value and suggesting ways to avoid pitfalls and complications. These observations were collected over an 18-year period during which we have implanted over 1,000 interatrial shunts using the percutaneous approach.

Multi-Fenestrated ASD
A 45-year-old patient was evaluated after a cerebrovascular attack. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) revealed a floppy interatrial septum (IAS) with four fenestrations (Figure 1). A spontaneous left-to-right flow was noted as well as free right-to-left micro-bubble flow during the Valsalva maneuver. We decided to close the fenestrations with a single device. A first attempt with a 15-mm device deployed in...
one of the central defects failed to occlude all openings (Figure 2). A second attempt was performed in the adjacent central defect using a 21-mm occluder. Residual leak was demonstrated only within the perimeter of the device (Figure 3). At this stage, the device was released.

The transcatheter closure of a multiple or fenestrated ASD can be accomplished by several methods [2]. The defects can be closed by the use of several devices, with each implanted to close one or more defect. When the distance between the ASDs is greater than 7 mm, placement of two devices is recommended [3]. Closure of the larger defect should be performed first [3, 4]. The second device may then need to be larger to overlap the rim of the first device, despite the smaller stretched diameter of the defect. When using more than one device, attention should be paid to maintaining adequate distance from structures like vena cavae entrances and the coronary sinus. The devices might interfere with blood flow and even increase the risk of thrombosis. This, however, has not been apparent in follow-up studies, even after cessation of antiplatelet therapy [5]. In addition, the devices might cause erosion of important tissues, including the aortic root, atrioventricular valves, or atrial free walls. Nevertheless, closure of multiple ASDs using multiple occluders seems to be a safe and effective method. Also, a financial issue that should be considered when implanting more than one device is the reimbursement system used by current health maintenance organizations [2]. As the cost of percutaneous closure of ASD is reimbursed according to a specific diagnosis-related group, closing multiple ASDs with more than one device during a single procedure will exceed the diagnosis-related group budget.

When the defects are in close proximity, an attempt may be made to close all defects using a single device. Szkutnik et al. [6] reported the feasibility of this approach in 2004. A distance of less than 7 mm between defects is considered appropriate for this procedure, and a larger device should be employed to cover all the defects. In addition to the diameter of the device, a decision must be made regarding the type of device. A single regular ASD occluder inserted through the central or largest defect will be stabilized in place by its waist, which will also stretch the IAS, thereby bringing the surrounding defects in proximity and decreasing their size. The benefits of using a single device are a shorter procedure duration and less chance of interference with venous blood flow, atrioventricular valve function, or adjacent tissue erosion.

**Balloon-Assisted Deployment of ASD Occluder**

A 38-year-old female with a history of systemic lupus erythematosus was admitted for closure of a 15-mm secundum ASD associated with aneurysmat-
The balloon was deflated and carefully retrieved, allowing the left disc to approach the septum (Video 4). The guidewire was also slowly pulled back. The device remained in a suitable position following its release (Video 5).

The balloon-assisted technique can assist in the proper positioning of devices in difficult ASDs [7, 8]. In one case series, balloon-assisted device closure of large (≥35 mm) ASDs had a 90% success rate [9]. The balloon-assisted technique facilitates controlled delivery and device alignment in very large ASDs and is often helpful when conventional delivery fails.
Dilator-Assisted Deployment of ASD Occluder

A 62-year-old female was admitted for closure of a large secundum ASD causing exertional dyspnea. A 32-mm ASD with reasonable margins was measured by TEE (Video 6). The occluding device did not align appropriately with the IAS during multiple attempts (Videos 7 and 8). Therefore, the long dilator of the device delivery system was introduced over a J-wire through an additional venipuncture (Video 9). The dilator was used to retain the left disc in the LA as the right disc was uncovered and pulled gently toward the RA, allowing engagement of the IAS from the right aspect (Videos 10 and 11). This technique has also been shown to aid the closure of large ASDs when difficulties in proper deployment of the occlusive device are encountered [10, 11].

“Cobra”-Shaped Disfiguration of the Left Disc

During advancement of the septal occluder through the sheath, twisting or compression of the occluding device prohibited the left atrial disc from acquiring its proper “mushroom” shape, resulting in a “cobra”-shaped disfiguration (Video 12). The devices could not be deployed in this configuration and had to be removed and discarded (Figures 4 and 5). Previously published techniques using the Amplatzer septal occluder to overcome this “cobra”-like formation have included retrieving the device into the sheath...
Video 7. The occluder failed to align appropriately with the interatrial septum. View supplemental video at http://dx.doi.org/10.12945/j.jshd.2016.005.16.vid.07.

Video 8. Another failed attempt to align the occluder with the interatrial septum. View supplemental video at http://dx.doi.org/10.12945/j.jshd.2016.005.16.vid.08.

Video 9. The dilator was introduced to facilitate deployment. View supplemental video at http://dx.doi.org/10.12945/j.jshd.2016.005.16.vid.09.

Video 10. The dilator retained the left disc in the left atrium, allowing engagement of the interatrial septum from the right. View supplemental video at http://dx.doi.org/10.12945/j.jshd.2016.005.16.vid.10.

Video 11. After successful deployment the dilator is withdrawn. View supplemental video at http://dx.doi.org/10.12945/j.jshd.2016.005.16.vid.11.

and quickly redeploying the distal disc [12], repeating the procedure several times [13], and loading the device into the sheath while making back-and-forth movements in the sheath [14]. Such attempts were at least partially effective in regaining normal device configuration. In our case, using the Occlutech device, we deployed the entire device in the LA (Video 13), allowing it to assume its original shape (Video 14). It was then possible to retrieve the right atrial disc and redeploy the device in the appropriate site with a normal configuration (Video 15). This technique may be effectively applied for all devices with “cobra”-like formations [15]. With the development of new technologies, however, this obstacle is less frequently encountered.

**Deficient Aortic Rim**

Deficient aortic rim is a rather common morphologic feature of ASD and is present in up to 30–50% of ASDs that are considered complex [16]. Deficient aortic rim is a risk factor for aortic erosion after device closure of ASDs. Deficient aortic rim has been associated with increased risk of device impingement on the aorta, but we observed no association between device impingement and the development of aortic insufficiency (Video 16). Ostermayer et al. found that small aortic rim is independently associated with procedural failure [17]. On the other hand, O’Byrne et al. found that deficient aortic rim is highly prevalent but does not seem to increase the risk of adverse outcomes [18]. Another group found that procedural failure mainly occurs with extremely large defects (≥40 mm), regardless of whether an aortic rim of septal tissue was present [19].

Absent aortic rim is not a contraindication for transcatheter closure attempt, but it may result in a more complex procedure and require maneuvers for successful deployment of the device. It is also important to consider whether to minimize the size of the device so that its edges/discs approach the aortic root or whether to select a slightly larger device that would embrace the aortic root to minimize the risk of aortic root erosion. These cases should be thoroughly investigated by TEE to demonstrate the extent of the aortic rim deficiency from several aspects, including 3-dimensional TEE. Adverse effects following an inter-
Conventional closure of ASD in the presence of complex and extensively deficient aortic rim may occur not during the procedure but might become evident after the period of hospital stay. The complexity of the morphological anomaly should prompt questions about the safety and possible outcomes of the interventional procedure and the consideration of alternative surgical options that are safe and have a high likelihood of successful and uneventful outcomes. New technologies such as magnetic resonance imaging with 3-dimensional printing of a model representing the abnormal morphology may assist in decision-making in cases of complex anatomy.

**Pulmonary Vein-Assisted Deployment of ASD Occluder**

An asymptomatic 3-year-old boy was admitted for elective closure of a secundum ASD. TEE showed that the ASD measured 11 mm and had a deficient antero-superior aortic rim. In the catheterization laboratory, multiple attempts were made to achieve an adequate device position; however, the device slipped through the defect or resulted in a posture perpendicular to the defect (Video 17). To overcome this difficulty, we first deployed the right atrial disc and swiftly advanced it to the right aspect of the IAS, which allowed an optimal alignment of the left atrial disc with the IAS, occluding the defect. To delay the deployment of the left atrial disc, we started the deployment in the left upper pulmonary vein (LUPV). When the left disc was uncovered in the LUPV, we held it stationary in an elongated form, allowing un-sheathing of the septal occluder so that the proximal disc would deploy in the RA, engaging the right aspect of the IAS. A short wiggle of the delivery system then released the left atrial disc from the LUPV position, engaging the IAS from the left aspect with a perfect configuration for ASD closure (Video 18). TEE investigation showed that the device embraced the aortic root and was in an adequate position in the presence of deficient aortic rim (Video 19). The pulmonary vein slide-out technique has also been used to aid closure of ASDs with deficient posterior rim [20]. This morphology is considered a risk factor for device migration.

---
“High” ASD

A “high”-positioned ASD, located in the postero-superior IAS, must be differentiated from sinus venous defect, which may be described as the unroofing of right pulmonary veins into the superior vena cava (SVC) or the RA. Whereas sinus venous defect cannot be closed by transcatheter intervention in the presence of partially anomalous pulmonary venous drainage, an attempt to close a high ASD can be made. Using TEE, we encountered an ASD in close proximity/continuity to the entrance of the SVC into the RA (Video 19). Occluding this ASD with a device carries a risk of restricting inflow from the SVC [21] and thrombus formation [22]. Therefore, during the procedure, after the device was deployed in the defect and prior to its release, contrast injection into the SVC in a steep left anterior oblique projection through an additional venous catheter demonstrated the spatial relationship between the SVC-RA junction and the occlusive device (Video 20) and showed unobstructed blood flow from the SVC to the RA (Video 21).

Large Chiari Network

The Chiari network is a fenestrated membrane consisting of threads and strands in the RA. It is a congenital remnant resulting from incomplete resorption of the right valve of the sinus venous. Prominent Chiari network may be found in 2–3% of the population, but it is generally not of clinical importance. During transcatheter occlusion of ASD, the Chiari network can complicate the procedure by catheter entrapment [23], proximal disc entanglement and inadequate deployment [24], and residual shunt [25]. In our situation, the use of an additional catheter in the SVC was also helpful as in our previous case of “high” ASD. A catheter advanced from the femoral vein to the SVC may retain the Chiari network away from the IAS, thus lowering the probability of device or catheter entanglement.

Double Interatrial Septum

A 37-year-old patient with end-stage renal failure, who was awaiting renal transplantation from his wife, was referred for an elective percutaneous closure of
an ASD. The ASD was diagnosed incidentally on TTE while investigating atypical chest pain. The transplanting team demanded resolution of the cardiac anomaly prior to renal transplantation. Interestingly, there was a familial occurrence of ASD, as his father, three out of 10 siblings, and his son had previously undergone surgical repair of ASD. On TEE, marked RA and ventricular enlargement were noted, and an unusual morphology of the interatrial septum was encountered (Video 22). Two almost parallel ASDs were noted: a 23- × 28-mm defect in the normally located IAS and a 30-mm defect in the additional curtain located within the LA. The margins of the defects were quite flimsy. We observed normal pulmonary and systemic venous connections. No veins drained into the interatrial space formed between the double atrial septum. The atrial shunt was successfully closed with a single 38-mm Amplatzer ASO on first attempt. The distal disc was deployed in the LA distal to the accessory septum, whereas the proximal disc was deployed in the RA proximal to the normally located septum. Hence, the double atrial septum was fully approximated by the Amplatzer device. No residual shunt was noted during a 10-year follow-up period. The device had aligned well with the combined squashed septum. Percutaneous ASD closure in this patient was especially advantageous, as his end stage renal failure could critically complicate a surgical procedure.

Double atrial septum is an extremely rare atrial septal anomaly. It forms an interatrial space that usually communicates with the LA via a patent foramen ovale and with the RA via accessory atrial septal fenestration. These two passages are frequently formed at different levels, such as superior and inferior [26, 27]. Pulmonary veins may drain in the interatrial space; in this scenario, percutaneous ASD closure may occlude the drainage of this pulmonary vein. Surgical resection of the accessory atrial septum with ASD closure would be the appropriate approach. A pigtail catheter advanced into the right ventricle (RV) may aid in differentiating between double atrial septum and a prominent Eustachian valve; the diagnosis of double septum would be confirmed by a non-reflecting tissue, whereas a Eustachian valve would be drawn away by the catheter [28]. In our patient, we were able to pass the guide wire, the balloon sizing catheter, the delivery sheath, and subsequently the occluding device through both defects and also to achieve an adequate position and configuration of the device with optimal defect occlusion.

**Snaring a Runaway Occluder**

A 65-year-old woman with an ASD with deficient antero-superior rim and a floppy IAS underwent percutaneous closure of the ASD. Balloon-sizing of the defect measured 27 mm. A 30-mm Occlutech septal occluder was selected. TEE inaccurately suggested an adequate deployment of the device
Following release, the device floated in the LA (Videos 25 and 26). The patient was given a supplement of heparin in addition to the initial dose. An attempt to retrieve the device with a 15-mm Andra snare failed. Although it was possible to hold on to the hub of the device, the grip was not strong enough to pull the device back into the 12F sheath (Video 27). Finally, the retention hub of the device was grabbed by 7F Cordis biopsy forceps (Video 28), allowing the right disc to be retrieved into the sheath (Video 29). The left disc was then approximated to the IAS, and the device was successfully deployed in the defect (Videos 30 and 31). The entire procedure was prolonged by 25 min. TTE confirmed an adequate position of the occluder the next day. In this scenario, attempting to recapture the connecting hub and accomplishing the procedure is desirable [29, 30]. Snaring and removing the device is another option. Emergency open-heart surgery is the last resort and should be reserved for unsuccessful device retrieval.
A 73-year-old woman presented with profound central cyanosis and a history of minor stroke. She had normal heart morphology, normal pulmonary artery pressure, and normal coronary angiography. A massive right-to-left shunt was demonstrated at atrial level, with normal pulmonary venous saturations and PO2 values. The reason for this huge right-to-left shunt is illustrated by the diastolic pressure curves, representing compliance differences between the right and left ventricles (Figure 6). Other causes of atrial right-to-left shunt, including pulmonary disease, pulmonary vascular disease, RV hypertrophy, RV diastolic dysfunction causing cyanosis.
systolic dysfunction, RA myxoma, tricuspid valve disease, and pericardial effusion, were excluded. Balloon occlusion of the patent foramen ovale served to test the tolerance of the occlusion and measure the effective stretched defect size. The defect was then closed by a 24-mm ASD Amplatzer occluder, resulting in a rise of arterial PO2 from 40 to 320 mmHg.

Atrial-level right-to-left shunt (ARLS) is a rare but important cause of hypoxia. The pathophysiology arises from an interatrial defect coupled with a secondary cardiac or pulmonary insult. A rise in RA pressure above LA pressure may precipitate ARLS [31]. Diastolic RV dysfunction may be caused by different mechanisms, including acute myocardial infarction, age-related undiagnosed severe pulmonary stenosis, and pulmonary atresia with intact interventricular septum years following resolution of RV outflow obstruction [32]. Treatment of ARLS involves treating the underlying cause and/or closure of the shunt to resolve hypoxemia. Observing the tolerance of a temporary closure of the ASD with a sizing balloon while monitoring RA pressure and systemic blood pressure should prevent RA pressure elevation and reduce cardiac output. If balloon occlusion is well-tolerated, the ASD (or patent foramen ovale) may be closed safely with a device and result in a favorable outcome.

Pulmonary arterial hypertension may also lead to ARLS in the presence of interatrial communication. However, prior to attempting to close an ASD in this situation, the reversibility of pulmonary hypertension should be demonstrated to avoid RV failure. A balloon occlusion test with RA pressure monitoring is a prudent approach. A fenestrated occluder may be considered as a temporary vent mechanism [33].

Summary

We present these select cases as challenges that require pre-procedural planning and intra-procedural considerations to successfully perform the percutaneous approach as an alternative to surgery without compromising patient safety. Some complex ASDs may be better treated by the surgeon, avoiding unpredictable percutaneous interventional outcome. Mature judgement and the acknowledgement of the current technology limitations is needed to hand over cases to the surgical team. However, the interventional team is expected to be resourceful in preparing for and performing the procedure. Learning from others’ experience, as well as the prudent use of imaging modalities, personal experience, and proper selection of equipment, are beneficial when managing complex cases of ASD closure.
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